

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,349	02/24/2004	Antoine LaFont	S63.2-9776US02	8749
490 7590 10/04/2007 VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN BRAINE AND 55244			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/785,349	LAFONT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ann Schillinger	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. vely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Journal 22 This action is FINAL.  2b) This 3 This application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-9.14 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9.14 and 18 is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1. 2. 4-8 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Froix (US Pat. No. 5,163,952). Froix discloses the following of claim 1: a method of treating a bodily vessel comprising the steps of: inserting a catheter (37) having distal portion (right end) into a body vessel (31), the distal portion having an expandable region (39), an expandable stent (11) being disposed about at least a portion of the expandable region (see Figures 4-6); advancing the distal portion to a desired location in a bodily vessel; delivering the stent to the desired location by expanding the expandable region from an unexpanded diameter to an expanded diameter; delivering heat to the stent during the expansion of the expandable region (col. 9, line 58 through col. 10, line 22).

Froix discloses the limitations of claims 4 and 5 in col. 9, line 58 through col. 10, line 22.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lashinski (US Pat. No. 6,579,305). Lashinski discloses the following of claim 1: a method of treating a bodily vessel comprising the steps of: inserting a catheter (22) having distal portion (right end) into a body vessel (100), the distal portion having an expandable region (27), an expandable stent (28) being disposed about at least a portion of the expandable region (see Figures 2-3B); advancing the distal portion to a desired location in a bodily vessel; delivering the stent to the desired location by expanding the expandable region from an unexpanded diameter to an expanded diameter; delivering heat to the stent during the expansion of the expandable region (col. 4, lines 43-64).

Lashinski discloses the limitations of claims 4 and 5 in col. 4, lines 43-64.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Froix or Lashinski in view of Burmeister et al. (US Pat. No. 6,451,052). Froix and Lashinski disclose the limitations of claim 6 as described above, however, they do not disclose constructing the stent of stainless steel. Burmeister et al. teaches constructing the stent of stainless steel in col. 8, line 41 through col. 9, line 14 for the purpose of utilizing the material's resiliency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the stent of stainless steel in order to utilize the material's resiliency.

Art Unit: 3738

Froix discloses the limitations of claims 7 and 8 in col. 9, line 58 through col. 10, line 56.

Lashinski discloses the limitations of claims 7 and 8 in col. 4, lines 43-64, and col. 5, lines 7-36.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 6/25/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, and 4-8 under 35 USC § 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Froix and Gianturco.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ann Schillinger September 26, 2007 ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5